arXiv:1605.00395v1 [astro-ph.HE] 2 May 2016

Application of the MST clustering to the high energy γ-ray sky. II - Possible detection of γ-ray emission from blazar candidates in the 1WHSP sample

R. Campana • E. Massaro • E. Bernieri

Abstract We present the results of a photon cluster search in the 7-years *Fermi*-Large Area Telescope extragalactic Pass 8 γ-ray sky by means of the Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) algorithm, at energies higher than 10 GeV. We found 16 clusters of photons, corresponding to candidate γ-ray sources, located very close to infrared-selected sources in the 1WHSP (WISE High Synchrotron Peaked) sample, and therein classified as either "new" or "candidate" blazars. In this paper some properties of the MST clusters and of the associated sources are presented.

Keywords γ-rays: observations – γ-rays: source detection – Galaxies: Active: BL Lac objects: general

1 Introduction

The *Fermi*-Large Area Telescope (LAT) sky survey at γ-ray energies has shown that blazars constitute the largest class of extragalactic high energy sources (see, for instance, the 2LAC and 3LAC catalogues, Ackermann et al. 2011, 2015). This finding has triggered some observational campaigns for discovering possible counterparts to unassociated γ-ray sources, aiming to extend the known blazar population. As discussed for example in the recent review by Massaro et al. (2016), these researches on the extragalactic γ-ray sky are important to evaluate the contribution of these sources to the high energy background and to establish some constraints to the dark matter (Ajello et al. 2015). Moreover, some of the newly discovered faint blazars are expected to be located at high redshift. The study of their spectra in the GeV band would provide useful information on the extragalactic background light (EBL, see, for instance, Ackermann et al. 2016).

Searches aimed to the discovery of BL Lac objects are particularly interesting because this type of blazars is generally characterized by hard spectra (Ackermann et al. 2016) and therefore they are the majority of the extragalactic sources detected at the highest energies. In the last years searches for BL Lacs based on multifrequency approaches have provided new rich samples of confirmed and candidate sources like the WISE Blazar Radio-Loud Sources (WIBRaLS, D'Abrusco et al. 2014) and the subsequent WISE High Synchrotron Peaked (1WHSP, Arsioli et al. 2015) catalogues. Both are based on a colour selection from the infrared photometric database of the WISE mission (Wright et al. 2010) according the criteria for the BL Lacs established by Massaro et al. (2011, 2013). The 1WHSP catalogue includes 992 sources which were selected to be confirmed and candidate HSP (High Synchrotron Peaked) blazars, i.e. with or without available optical spectra allowing a safe classification, and therefore one can expect that they should be detected up to the highest γ-ray energies: 299 of them,in fact, are known confirmed emitters of GeV photons, based on Fermi-LAT catalogues, and 36 have already been detected in the TeV band.

In a preliminary work (Campana et al. 2015, hereafter Paper I) the Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) algorithm was successfully applied to the search of new spatial clusters of γ-rays which could be an indication for localized high energy sources, and illustrated how

R. Campana

INAF–IASF-Bologna, via Piero Gobetti 101, I-40129, Bologna, Italy

E. Massaro

INAF–IAPS, via del Fosso del Cavaliere 100, I-00133, Roma, Italy
and
In Unam Sapientiam, Roma, Italy

E. Bernieri

INFN–Sezione di Roma Tre, via della Vasca Navale 84, I-00146 Roma, Italy

MST is useful for finding clusters having a small number of photons, but likely related to pointlike sources.

Paper I was based on a *Fermi*-LAT Pass 7 dataset spanning 6.3 years. However, the new response functions introduced with the Pass 8 reprocessing (Atwood et al. 2013) ensure an improved event reconstruction, leading to better background rejection, effective area and angular resolution.

Therefore, in this paper we report the results of a new MST analysis for detecting photon clusters in the 7-years *Fermi*-LAT Pass 8 sky at energies higher than 10 GeV for Galactic latitudes higher than 25°, where we found 16 clusters located very close to 1WHSP sources, classified in this catalogue as either *New* (i.e. not already reported as blazars) or *Candidate* blazars. In other words, the identification of these infrared-selected sources is still not definitive, and the γ-ray results shown in this work could help to clarify their identity as blazars.

In a companion paper (Campana et al. 2016) we will instead focus on the analysis of clusters matching sources already classified as blazars and reported in the Roma BZCAT catalogue, extending the results of Paper I.

2 Photon cluster detection by means of the MST algorithm

The topometric Minimum Spanning Tree algorithm searches for clusters in a field of points. A description of the method is presented in Paper I, and a more detailed discussion of its statistical properties can be found in Campana et al. (2008, 2013). Therefore we summarize here only its principal characteristics, for the sake of completeness.

Given a two-dimensional set of N points (*nodes*) one can define a set $\{\lambda_i\}$ of weighted edges connecting them. The MST is the unique graph without closed loops (a *tree*) that connects all the nodes with the minimum total weight, $\min[\Sigma_i \lambda_i]$. In our case, where the dimensions are the photon arrival coordinates on a celestial sphere, the edge weights are the angular distances.

After the computation of the MST, a set of subtrees corresponding to photon clusters is extracted by means of a 2-step *primary selection*. The first step (*separation*) removes all the edges having a length $\lambda > \Lambda_{\rm cut}$, the separation value, usually defined in units of the mean edge length $\Lambda_m = (\Sigma_i \lambda_i)/N$. This results in a set of disconnected sub-trees. The second step (*elimination*) removes all the sub-trees having a number of nodes $N \leq N_{cut}$, leaving only the clusters having a size over a properly fixed threshold. The remaining set of sub-trees provides a first list of clusters.

A *secondary* selection is then applied to extract the most robust candidates for γ-ray sources. In Campana et al. (2013) a suitable parameter for this selection was introduced, the *magnitude* of the cluster, defined as $M_k = n_k g_k$, where n_k is the number of nodes in the cluster k and the *clustering parameter* g_k is the ratio between Λ_m and $\lambda_{m,k}$, the mean length of the k-th cluster edges. Comparative tests performed in simulated and real *Fermi*-LAT fields (see Campana et al. 2013) showed that $\sqrt{M}$ has a linear correlation with other statistical significance parameters and that it can be a good estimator of the significance of a MST cluster. Usually (Campana et al. 2013, Paper I) a lower threshold of $M \sim 20$ is used.

To have an indication if a cluster is compatible with a γ-ray source two quantities can be computed, namely the centroid coordinates, obtained by means of a weighted mean of the photons' coordinates (see Campana et al. 2013) and the radius of the circle centred at the centroid and containing the 50% of photons in the cluster, the *median radius* R_m. For a cluster likely associated with a genuine pointlike γ-ray source, the latter should be smaller than or comparable to the 68% containment radius of instrumental Point Spread Function (PSF). This radius varies from $0\overset{\circ}{.}25$ at 3 GeV to $0\overset{\circ}{.}12$ at 10 GeV in the case of front-converting events (Ackermann et al. 2013), using the latest instrumental response files[1] (Pass 8, Atwood et al. 2013). We also expect that the angular distance beetween the positions of the cluster centroid and the possible optical counterpart are lower than the latter value.

3 The 1WHSP subsample

The 1WHSP sample (Arsioli et al. 2015) was selected applying a method that combines AllWISE survey data (Cutri et al. 2013) with multi-frequency selection criteria to extract confirmed and candidate HSP (High Synchrotron Peaked) sources. We selected a subsample from the entire 1WHSP list (992 sources) by excluding 418 sources already reported in the 5th Edition of the Roma-BZCAT catalogue (hereafter 5BZCAT, Massaro et al. 2014, 2015) and those for which a possible counterpart in the *Fermi*-LAT catalogues (1FGL, Abdo et al. 2010, 2FGL, Nolan et al. 2012, and 3FGL, Acero et al. 2015) is indicated (299 sources). Finally, we excluded all the sources with an absolute Galactic latitude lower than 25°. The resulting 1WHSP subsample contains 440 sources: 309 are indicated as *Candidate*

[1] http://www.slac.stanford.edu/exp/glast/groups/canda/lat_Performance.htm

Table 1 Coordinates and main properties of MST clusters detected at energies higher than 10 GeV ($\Lambda_{\rm cut} = 0.7$). The second and third columns give the J2000 coordinates of MST cluster centroids; angular distances $\Delta\theta$ from the catalog position of 1WHSP associations are computed from these values. Blazar types N and C are for *New* and *Candidate*, respectively (see text for details).

MST cluster	RA J2000	DEC J2000	1WHSP source	$\Delta\theta$ $'$	n	g	M	R_m deg	Type
MST 0009−4316	2.412	−43.317	J000949.74−431650	2.95	7	3.63	25.41	0.054	N
MST 0029+2053	7.399	20.874	J002928.60+205333	2.01	7	5.25	36.75	0.047	C
MST 0102−2002	15.675	−20.021	J010250.93−200158	2.22	8	4.87	38.96	0.071	N
MST 0241−3041	40.263	−30.640	J024115.48−304140	4.21	9	2.91	26.19	0.101	N
MST 0328−5716	52.261	−57.217	J032852.68−571605	3.35	6	3.75	22.50	0.080	N
MST 0507−3346	76.864	−33.782	J050727.27−334635	0.33	12	3.71	44.52	0.075	C
MST 0812+2821	123.155	28.363	J081231.25+282056	1.55	7	2.94	20.58	0.042	N
MST 1033+3708	158.419	37.139	J103346.39+370824	1.17	7	3.04	21.28	0.059	N
MST 1043+0054	160.690	0.929	J104303.84+005420	4.77	10	3.07	30.67	0.089	C
MST 1432+7644	217.767	76.730	J143211.62+764355	3.88	8	2.60	20.80	0.111	C
MST 1550−0822	237.718	−8.360	J155053.27−082246	1.20	6	6.27	37.62	0.022	C
MST 1623+0857	245.866	8.959	J162330.55+085724	0.69	8	3.68	29.44	0.063	N
MST 1626+6300	246.633	62.983	J162646.04+630048	2.43	9	4.52	40.68	0.067	C
MST 1640+6852	250.099	68.835	J164014.94+685234	2.59	7	4.10	28.70	0.047	C
MST 2002−5736	300.543	−57.639	J200204.18−573645	1.79	8	4.47	35.76	0.037	C
MST 2040−4620	309.997	−46.430	J204006.61−462017	$5.64^{(1)}$	8	2.87	22.96	0.131	C

(^{1}) This angular separation decreases to 2.′35 for the cluster found at energies >7 GeV.

blazars, 124 as *New* blazars, 6 as *Known* blazars and 1 as *Sedentary* blazar (i.e. belonging to the Sedentary Survey; Giommi et al. 1999, 2005). Sources reported as *New* blazars are objects with available spectra in the literature or in large databases (like SDSS or 6dF), while for the *Candidates* no safe optical spectroscopic information was found. Note that also in the 1WHSP list, similarly to the 5BZCAT, there is a north-south asymmetry in the number (and density) of sources due to the available surveys' data. Sources with a positive declination are 529 against 463 with negative values, but those with declination lower than −40°, the South NVSS limit, are only 125 while in the corresponding North region are 209. This asymmetry, however, is not present in our subsample that has 237 sources (54%) with negative declinations.

4 The MST cluster populations

We searched for clusters of γ-ray photons at energies higher than 10 GeV detected by *Fermi*-LAT (using 7 years of archival Pass 8 data, spanning from 2008 August 4 to 2015 August 4) by means of MST. The Galactic belt up to a latitude $|b| \leq 25°$ was excluded to reduce the possibility of finding clusters originated by local high background fluctuations. Each of these two broad spherical regions was then divided into ten smaller subregions and MST was applied in each of them. The parameters of the primary selection of clusters were $N_{\rm cut} = 4$ and $\Lambda_{\rm cut} = 0.7\Lambda_m$; then a rather severe secondary selection was applied with $M > 20$. A sample of 921 clusters was obtained, of which 716 have a firm 3FGL counterpart, and 165 a 1FHL counterpart (five of which are not in the 3FGL catalogue). From the residual clusters we sorted out 10 more corresponding to sources in the recent 2FHL catalogue (Ackermann et al. 2015) at energies higher than 50 GeV. Thus, we extracted a sample of 190 clusters not related to previously known γ-ray sources. One of them, however, was found to have a position very close to the famous GRB 130427A (Maselli et al. 2014) well detected also at these energies by *Fermi*-LAT (Ackermann et al. 2014).

The matching of the positions of our 189 non-associated clusters with the subsample of 440 1WHSP sources gave 16 clusters with a positional coincidence within a maximum angular separation (computed using the cluster centroids' coordinates) of $6'$ ($0.^\circ1$), comparable to the PSF radius at these energies (see also Paper I for a discussion on the matching radius). The list of these sources and their most important cluster parameters are given in Table 1.

It is interesting to note that if we double the maximum angular distance for the source matching to $12'$, we find the same 16 sources, and this distance must be increased to $16'$ to find one more matching source between the two samples. With the very large separation

of $60' = 1^\circ$, 28 sources are found. The number of sources with angular distance in the range [$6'$, $60'$] is 12, less than those found within the original value of $6'$. This simple test is a first significant indication to exclude the possibility that the 16 source matchings are due to a simple random correspondence between the two samples and suggest a real physical association. Some additional tests are presented in the following Subsections.

4.1 MST clusters at energies higher than 7 GeV

We performed a similar cluster search in the *Fermi*-LAT sky at energies higher than 7 GeV to confirm the >10 GeV clusters. The analysis with a lower energy threshold is important because the higher percentage of background photons decreases the mean angular distances between them. Consequently, the clustering degree can decrease, unless the photon number in a cluster increases by an amount large enough to balance this effect. We confirmed the detection of all the clusters, 14 of which with $M > 20$ (9 with $M > 30$). The two clusters with $M < 20$ are MST 0241−3041 and MST 1033+3708 that are close to soft bright sources with a high number of photons. However, when the MST is applied to regions excluding these sources their M values result higher than 20 thus confirming the detection. Note also that above 7 GeV for the cluster MST 2040−4620 the angular distance between its centroid and the 1WHSP source position decreases to $2\farcm35$ above 7 GeV with the addition of only one photon.

4.2 Statistical tests for the spatial association of the samples

In order to verify the 16 associations of between the 1WSHP subsample and our MST clusters we developed some tests based on the search of spatial correspondences between the considered blazars with simulated samples having an equal number of elements (see also Paper I).

The expected number of correspondences $N_{\rm ex}$ due to random matching can be estimated from the ratio between the sum of the solid angles subtended by the clusters ω_i to the total solid angle of the explored sky region Ω, multiplied by the number of blazars N_b:

$$N_{\rm ex} = N_b \frac{\sum_i \omega_i}{\Omega} = N_c N_b \frac{\omega}{\Omega} \quad (1)$$

where N_c is the number of clusters. We assume that all the clusters have the same solid angle ω corresponding to a circular region of radius equal to $0\fdg1$. From $\Omega = 7.256$ sr and $\omega = 9.57 \times 10^{-6}$ sr, with the number of clusters in our sample ($N_c = 189$) and of blazars ($N_b = 440$) we obtain $N_{\rm ex} = 0.11$, a value two orders of magnitude smaller than our result.

Starting from our sample of 189 clusters we generated other samples of fake clusters sorting a position within an annular region centered at the true cluster centroid and having inner and outer radii of $0\fdg5$ and 3°. In this way we mantained the same large scale spatial distribution of clusters that could be affected by the inhomogeneities of the γ-ray background, due for instance to the distance from the Galactic belt. From these simulations we found an average value of 0.085 matching clusters per run, rather close to the the previous estimate. The small difference could be partially explained by the fraction of extracted fake clusters can be located at Galactic latitudes lower than $|25^\circ|$, and therefore outside the region of interest. As a consequence, the resulting Poissonian probability for finding 16 or more matching sources is of the order of $\sim 10^{-31}$. Similar probabilities are also obtained by shifting all the centroids' coordinates by the same quantities of the order of a fraction of degree. In conclusion, it is reasonable to assume that no more than one of the 16 associations with 1WHSP sources might be due to a random occurrence.

5 Maximum likelihood analysis

Adopting the same approach of Paper I, we performed also a standard unbinned likelihood analysis for each MST cluster. A Region of Interest (ROI) of 10° radius was selected centered at the MST cluster centroid, and standard screening criteria were applied to the *Fermi*-LAT data above 3 GeV. The likelihood analysis was performed considering all the 3FGL sources within 20° from the cluster centroid, as well as the Galactic and extragalactic diffuse emission. A further source with a power-law spectral distribution $F(E) = KE^{-\Gamma}$ was assumed at the MST coordinates. The normalization and spectral index of all the 3FGL sources within the ROI was allowed to vary in the fitting, while the parameters of the sources between 10° and 20° from the center of the field of view were fixed to their catalogue values. From this analysis, we derived the likelihood Test Statistics (TS) and fluxes in the two 3–300 and 10–300 GeV bands. The results are reported in Table 2: photon fluxes above 3 and 10 GeV between 10^{-11} and 10^{-10} ph cm^{-2} s^{-1} are obtained for the safe sources; for sources having a TS value below the conventional significance threshold ($TS = 25$, corresponding to 5σ) upper limits are given.

For the large majority of clusters the resulting $\sqrt{TS}$ are above the conventional limit of 5.0 and their detection as genuine γ-ray sources is safely confirmed. Only

Table 2 Standard unbinned likelihood analysis of the *Fermi*-LAT data, see text for details. The third and fourth columns report photon fluxes in units of 10^{-11} ph cm^{-2} s^{-1}. For sources below the usual significance threshold ($TS = 25$) only upper limits are given.

MST cluster	$\sqrt{TS}$	Flux 3–300 GeV	Flux 10–300 GeV	Γ
MST 0009−4316	5.66	5.2 ± 1.9	1.5 ± 0.8	2.0 ± 0.4
MST 0029+2053	6.12	4.5 ± 1.7	2.0 ± 0.9	1.6 ± 0.3
MST 0102−2002	5.57	4.3 ± 1.6	1.9 ± 0.9	1.6 ± 0.3
MST 0241−3041	3.62	≤ 4.0	≤ 0.8	—
MST 0328−5716	4.88	≤ 6.6	≤ 1.2	—
MST 0507−3346	8.34	8.6 ± 2.2	3.3 ± 1.1	1.8 ± 0.2
MST 0812+2821	5.19	4.7 ± 1.7	1.9 ± 0.9	1.7 ± 0.3
MST 1033+3708	6.41	6.3 ± 2.0	2.3 ± 0.9	1.8 ± 0.3
MST 1043+0054	7.50	8.0 ± 2.3	3.7 ± 1.2	1.6 ± 0.2
MST 1432+7644	4.15	≤ 5.6	≤ 1.0	—
MST 1550−0822	5.86	4.4 ± 1.7	2.1 ± 0.9	1.6 ± 0.3
MST 1623+0857	5.00	4.3 ± 1.7	1.5 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.4
MST 1626+6300	7.79	8.1 ± 2.2	3.2 ± 1.1	1.7 ± 0.3
MST 1640+6852	6.07	6.7 ± 2.3	2.1 ± 0.9	1.9 ± 0.4
MST 2002−5736	7.25	12.1 ± 3.3	3.0 ± 1.3	2.2 ± 0.3
MST 2040−4620	6.66	11.1 ± 2.9	2.3 ± 1.1	2.3 ± 0.4

three clusters have lower values: two with $\sqrt{TS} > 4.0$ and only MST 0241−3041 (associated with 1WHSP J024115.48−304140) has a value equal to 3.6. Note that clusters with a low $\sqrt{TS}$ have also frequently a low g, even if this correlation is not always verified because it depends on the different energy ranges and on the local background. There are, however, other indications to consider safe these three associations.

Figure 1 shows the LAT photon sky map at energies higher than 10 GeV in the region around 1WHSP J024115.48−304140: there are four known 5BZCAT blazars, two of which are Flat Spectrum Radio Quasars without any evidence of photon clusters in their neighbourhoods, while one of the two known BL Lac objects (5BZBJ0238−3116, 3FGL J0238.4−3117) corresponds to the richest cluster in the field. Both these two sources are in the 1WHSP catalogue, that also contains the source corresponding to the MST cluster of interest, MST 0241−3041. This cluster has a rather low g and some of the photons belonging to it are sparse. A more refined analysis in a few degree wide field, excluding the bright source and with a stronger cut length at $\Lambda_{\rm cut} = 0.5\Lambda_m$, gives only the two clusters corresponding to the 1WHSP sources: the one of interest with 7 photons, $g = 3.27$, and $R_m = 0\farcm76$. The other cluster, associated with a BL Lac object in the 5BZCAT and not previously reported as γ-ray source, was already discussed in Paper I.

One of the two other clusters with $\sqrt{TS}$ lower than 5, MST 0328−5716, was firmly confirmed by the analysis at energies higher than 7 GeV that gave a cluster with 7 photons, $g = 3.88$ and $M = 27.17$. In a further analysis above 3 GeV it resulted the cluster with the highest $g = 4.29$ in the region. Moreover, at this lower energy threshold the angular separation between the cluster centroid and the position of the 1WHSP source decreases to $1'.65$.

The significance of the cluster MST 1432+7644 appears a bit weaker than the previous two. In fact the analysis above 7 GeV with $\Lambda_{\rm cut} = 0.7\Lambda_m$ gave a rich cluster with 14 photons, but a rather low $g = 2.38$ and $M = 33.32$, while adopting $\Lambda_{\rm cut} = 0.6\Lambda_m$ the photon number was reduced to 6 with $g = 3.08$ and $M = 18.48$. This differences can be explained by the particular structure of this cluster with a high density core embedded in a sparse halo so that a small change of $\Lambda_{\rm cut}$ would imply a large change in the cluster parameters. The centroid position of the core is at about $5'.9$ to the 1WHSP source coordinates while that of the 14 photon cluster is at $1'.6$. It cannot be excluded that these differencies could contribute in giving a low $\sqrt{TS}$. A more robust evidence for the existence of this emission could be obtained in the future by the richer statistics from a longer exposure.

We also searched if time distributions of events arriving from the regions of these sources were or not uniform suggesting the occurrence of possible brightening periods. Aperture photometry light curves of photons at energies above 3 GeV from a circular region of radius $0\fdg5$ centered at the source position were extracted



Fig. 1 Photon sky map in Galactic coordinates of the region around the BL Lac object 1WHSP J024115.48−304140. Black filled circles mark the photon coordinates at energies higher than 10 GeV, green points are the photons in the cluster associated with the blazar; large black crosses correspond to the optical coordinates of BL Lac objects in the 5Roma-BZCAT while small black X-symblos are Flat Spectrum Radio Quasar in the same catalogue, open orange diamonds are 1WHSP sources, blue open squares are the 3FGL sources, open red circles are the MST cluster positions, while the magenta diamonds are the sources in the D3PO catalogue (Selig et al. 2014).

for all the clusters. No significant variation or flaring activity was found for any source.

6 Summary and discussion

Our application of MST for searching localized photon clusters in the *Fermi*-LAT sky (Pass 8) confirmed the good performance of this method, particularly for detecting clusters with a low number of photons. We analysed sky images at energies higher than 10 GeV having rather low photon densities, allowing a robust detection of photon clusters having typical sizes comparable with the LAT PSF. In the selection procedure we adopted rather severe threshold values to reduce the possibility of spurious detections.

We found 189 clusters unassociated with 5BZCAT objects or previously reported γ-ray sources and the cross-matching with a subsample of 440 sources in the 1WHSP catalogues within a maximum angular separation of $6'$ gave a list of 16 clusters, further confirmed by a search above 7 GeV. The significance of all the sources was then tested with the standard maximum likelihood analysis at energies higher than 3 GeV and the results confirmed the large majority of these MST cluster detections. Only 3 clusters resulted with a TS lower than 25 and only one of them below 16. Nevertheless, a further analysis on a small region provided additional arguments for their existence as emissions associated to 1WHSP sources.

It is interesting to note that the percentage of detected blazars in the entire 1WHSP subsample is quite low, about 5%. This can be explained either by a variable γ-ray emission with a low duty-cycle or by a low fraction of γ-ray loud sources, thus implying that a selection based on low frequency data (radio, IR, optical and X) could be not very efficient to establish if sources will be bright also at the GeV to TeV energies. Note, however, that only two sources were found with a photon spectral index higher than 2.0, despite their relatively large uncertainties, indicating that the SED peak is at particularly high energies as expected for HSP objects.

Five of these 16 objects were already reported as blazar candidates in previous surveys: 1WHSP J081231.25+282056 is in the sample of Plotkin et al. (2010), based on SDSS spectral data, while 1WHSP J104303.84+005420 is included in the ROXA list (Turriziani et al. 2007), and 1WHSP J000949.74−431650 is in the WIBRaLS (D'Abrusco et al. 2014) sample based on WISE colours, and the two sources 1WHSP J0102-50.93−200158 and 1WHSPJ162646.04+630048 were inserted in the LORCAT catalogue of low-frequency flat spectrum radio sources by Massaro et al. (2014).

1WHSP sources classified as *New* blazars are about the 28% in the considered subsample, but these are 44% among our detected clusters. This difference is not large enough to be significant (4 N-type sources expected against 7 found) but it could be an indication that a fraction of sources classified as *Candidates* might not be actual high energy emitters.

Arsioli et al. (2015) introduced a figure of merit (FOM) that is higher for those 1WHSP sources having a larger probability to be observed at TeV energies. Sources associated with our clusters have all quite low FOM: the one with the highest value is 1WHSP J010250.93−200158 ($FOM = 0.40$). In our 440 sources 1WHSP subsample the one with the highest FOM is 1WHSP J092603.5+124333, for which it is equal to 1.58. This is a galaxy at redshift $z = 0.187$ with an SDSS spectrum typical of an elliptical one without evidence of a particular nuclear activity and no high UV emission ($u - r = 3.7$ mag). It has a radio flux density in FIRST of 27.5 mJy and is not detected in the X-ray images by Swift-XRT. We searched for a possible

γ-ray emission from this object but there is no indication for any photon cluster in its surroundings. It appears therefore a low luminosity radio galaxy instead of a blazar.

We searched also for a possible indication that the detected clusters are related to some particular properties of the source, like the radio flux density, the WISE magnitude or the synchrotron peak frequency in the SED (that is estimated in the 1WHSP). No significant correlation was found, indicating that these 16 objects have some specific property to explain an enhanced high energy emission.

Our results are useful for investigating the nature and the population distribution of low-brightness extragalactic high energy sources. In particular, we have to distinguish between a rather nearby population of low luminosity sources and that of high redshift objects, but the featureless continua of BL Lacs make this goal quite hard. It is therefore important to test if future data, based increasingly longer exposure observations would provide a more robust evidence for describing the statistical properties of these sources.

We acknowledge use of archival Fermi data. We made large use of the online version of the Roma-BZCAT and of the scientific tools developed at the ASI Science Data Center (ASDC), of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) archive, of the NED database and other astronomical catalogues distributed in digital form (Vizier and Simbad) at Centre de Dates astronomiques de Strasbourg (CDS) at the Louis Pasteur University.

References

Abdo, A.A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A., Antolini, E., Atwood, W.B., Axelsson, M., Baldini, L., Ballet, J., Barbiellini, G., *et al.*: Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **188**, 405 (2010)

Acero, F., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Albert, A., Atwood, W.B., Axelsson, M., Baldini, L., Ballet, J., *et al.*: Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **218**, 23 (2015)

Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A., Antolini, E., Atwood, W.B., Axelsson, M., Baldini, L., Ballet, J., *et al.*: Astrophys. J. **743**, 171 (2011)

Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A., Asano, K., Atwood, W.B., Baldini, L., Ballet, J., Barbiellini, G., *et al.*: Astrophys. J. **765**, 54 (2013)

Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Asano, K., Atwood, W.B., Axelsson, M., Baldini, L., Ballet, J., Barbiellini, G., *et al.*: Science **343**, 42 (2014)

Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Atwood, W.B., Baldini, L., Ballet, J., Barbiellini, G., Bastieri, D., *et al.*: Astrophys. J. **810**, 14 (2015)

Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., An, H., Baldini, L., Barbiellini, G., Bastieri, D., Bellazzini, R., Bissaldi, E., *et al.*: Astrophys. J. **820**, 72 (2016)

Ajello, M., Gasparrini, D., Sánchez-Conde, M., Zaharijas, G., Gustafsson, M., Cohen-Tanugi, J., Dermer, C.D., Inoue, Y., Hartmann, D., Ackermann, M., Bechtol, K., Franckowiak, A., Reimer, A., Romani, R.W., Strong, A.W.: The Origin of the Extragalactic Gamma-Ray Background and Implications for Dark Matter Annihilation **800**, 27 (2015)

Arsioli, B., Fraga, B., Giommi, P., Padovani, P., Marrese, P.M.: Astron. Astrophys. **579**, 34 (2015)

Atwood, W., Albert, A., Baldini, L., Tinivella, M., Bregeon, J., Pesce-Rollins, M., Sgrò, C., Bruel, P., Charles, E., et al.: ArXiv e-prints (2013). 1303.3514

Campana, R., Massaro, E., Bernieri, E.: Astrophys. Space Sci. **in press**, (2016)

Campana, R., Massaro, E., Gasparrini, D., Cutini, S., Tramacere, A.: Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **383**, 1166 (2008)

Campana, R., Bernieri, E., Massaro, E., Tinebra, F., Tosti, G.: Astrophys. Space Sci. **347**, 169 (2013)

Campana, R., Massaro, E., Bernieri, E., D'Amato, Q.: Astrophys. Space Sci. **360**, 65 (2015)

Cutri, R.M., Wright, E.L., Conrow, T., Fowler, J.W., Eisenhardt, P.R.M., Grillmair, C., Kirkpatrick, J.D., Masci, F., et al.: Explanatory Supplement to the AllWISE Data Release Products. Technical report, (November 2013)

D'Abrusco, R., Massaro, F., Paggi, A., Smith, H.A., Masetti, N., Landoni, M., Tosti, G.: Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **215**, 14 (2014)

Giommi, P., Menna, M.T., Padovani, P.: Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **310**, 465 (1999)

Giommi, P., Piranomonte, S., Perri, M., Padovani, P.: Astron. Astrophys. **434**, 385 (2005)

Maselli, A., Melandri, A., Nava, L., Mundell, C.G., Kawai, N., Campana, S., Covino, S., Cummings, J.R., *et al.*: Science **343**, 48 (2014)

Massaro, E., Maselli, A., Leto, C., *et al.*: Multifrequency Catalogue of Blazars, 5th edn. Aracne Editrice, Rome (2014)

Massaro, E., Maselli, A., Leto, C., Marchegiani, P., Perri, M., Giommi, P., Piranomonte, S.: Astrophys. Space Sci. **357**, 75 (2015)

Massaro, F., Thompson, D.J., Ferrara, E.C.: Astron. Astrophys. Rev. **24**, 2 (2016)

Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Ajello, M., Grindlay, J.E., Smith, H.A.: Astrophys. J. Lett. **740**, 48 (2011)

Massaro, F., Paggi, A., Errando, M., D'Abrusco, R., Masetti, N., Tosti, G., Funk, S.: Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **207**, 16 (2013)

Massaro, F., Giroletti, M., D'Abrusco, R., Masetti, N., Paggi, A., Cowperthwaite, P.S., Tosti, G., Funk, S.: Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **213**, 3 (2014)

Nolan, P.L., Abdo, A.A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A., Antolini, E., Atwood, W.B., Axelsson, M., Baldini, L., Ballet, J., et al.: Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **199**, 31 (2012)

Plotkin, R.M., Anderson, S.F., Brandt, W.N., Diamond-Stanic, A.M., Fan, X., Hall, P.B., Kimball, A.E., Richmond, M.W., Schneider, D.P., Shemmer, O., Voges, W., York, D.G., Bahcall, N.A., Snedden, S., Bizyaev, D., Brewington, H., Malanushenko, V., Malanushenko, E., Oravetz, D., Pan, K., Simmons, A.: Astron. J. **139**, 390 (2010)

Selig, M., Vacca, V., Oppermann, N., Enßlin, T.A.: ArXiv e-prints (2014). 1410.4562

Turriziani, S., Cavazzuti, E., Giommi, P.: Astron. Astrophys. **472**, 699 (2007)

Wright, E.L., Eisenhardt, P.R.M., Mainzer, A.K., Ressler, M.E., Cutri, R.M., Jarrett, T., Kirkpatrick, J.D., Padgett, D., McMillan, R.S., Skrutskie, M., Stanford, S.A., Cohen, M., Walker, R.G., Mather, J.C., Leisawitz, D., Gautier, T.N. III, McLean, I., Benford, D., Lonsdale, C.J., Blain, A., Mendez, B., Irace, W.R., Duval, V., Liu, F., Royer, D., Heinrichsen, I., Howard, J., Shannon, M., Kendall, M., Walsh, A.L., Larsen, M., Cardon, J.G., Schick, S., Schwalm, M., Abid, M., Fabinsky, B., Naes, L., Tsai, C.-W.: Astron. J. **140**, 1868 (2010)

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.